

vs.

5 CARLOS FIGUEROA, XAVIER TORRES, ROCHESTER, NEW YORK
6 AND JEAN KARLOS PIZZARO, November 10, 2020
Defendants 12:15 p.m.

7 | - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
BEFORE THE HONORABLE FRANK P. GERACI, JR.
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10
11 JAMES P. KENNEDY, JR., ESQ.
12 United States Attorney
13 BY: KATYLYN HARTFORD, ESQ.
14 CASSIE M. KOCHER, ESQ.
ROBERT MARANGOLA, ESQ.
15 Assistant United States Attorneys
16 500 Federal Building
17 Rochester, New York 14614
18
19 Appearinq on behalf of the United States

16 MAURICE J. VERRILLO, ESQ.
Appearing on behalf of Xavier Torres

18 MATTHEW LEMBKE, ESQ.
Appearing on behalf of Jean Karlos Pizzaro

19 PAUL VACCA, ESQ.
Appearing on behalf of Carlos Figueroa

21 ALSO PRESENT: Nicolas Penchaszadeh, Spanish Interpreter
22 Jesus Barberia, Spanish Interpreter
Sarah Whitcomb, U.S. Probation Office

23 COURT REPORTER: Christi A. Macri, FAPR-RMR-CRR-CSR (NY/CA)
24 Christimacri50@gmail.com
25 Kenneth B. Keating Federal Building
100 State Street, Room 2640
Rochester, New York 14614

PROCEDINGS

(**WHEREUPON**, all defendants are present).

THE CLERK: USA vs. Carlos Figueroa, Xavier Torres,
Carlos Pizzaro.

You may be seated.

7 Spanish interpreters, Nicolas and Jesus, please
8 raise your right hand.

(**WHEREUPON**, the interpreters were sworn).

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

11 MR. MARANGOLA: Good afternoon.

MR. VACCA: Good afternoon, Judge.

13 | **THE COURT:** Are you Carlos Javier Figueira?

DEFENDANT FIGUEROA: Yes.

THE COURT: Appear with your attorney Mr. Vacca?

16 MR. VACCA: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And are you Xavier Torres?

18 | DEFENDANT TORRES: Yes.

THE COURT: You appear with your attorney

20 | Mr. Verrillo?

MR. VERRILLO: Yes, Your Honor.

22 | THE COURT: Are you Jean Karlos Pizzaro?

23 DEFENDANT PIZZARO: Yes.

THE COURT: You appear with your attorney

12:16:11PM 25 Mr. Lembke?

1 **MR. LEMBKE** Your Honor, one moment, please.

2 Judge, I'm sorry. Would you repeat those last
3 couple of questions?

4 **THE COURT:** Sure. Are you Jean Karlos Pizzaro?

12:16:30PM 5 **THE DEFENDANT:** Yes.

6 **THE COURT:** You appear with your attorney
7 Mr. Lembke?

8 **DEFENDANT PIZZARO:** Yes.

9 **THE COURT:** Is that yes?

12:16:51PM10 **DEFENDANT FIGUEROA:** Yes, Your Honor.

11 **THE COURT:** Thank you. First of all, this matter's
12 on for a first appearance relating to a fourth superseding
13 indictment that was filed.

14 Regarding that matter in Count 1, the defendants
12:17:11PM15 Figueroa, Torres and Pizzaro are charged with narcotics
16 conspiracy.

17 Count 2, defendants are Figueroa, Torres, and
18 Pizzaro, charged with possession of a firearm.

19 Count 3, the defendant Figueroa is charged with
12:17:36PM20 murder while engaged in a narcotics conspiracy.

21 Count 4, the defendant Figueroa is charged with
22 discharge of a firearm during a drug trafficking crime.

23 Count 5, the defendant Torres is charged with
24 distribution of heroin.

12:18:04PM25 Count 6, the defendant Torres is charged with

1 distribution of heroin.

2 Count 7, 8 and 9, the defendant Pizzaro is charged
3 with distribution of heroin on three separate dates in
4 December of 2015.

12:18:33PM 5 Count 10, the defendant Figueroa is charged with
6 possession of heroin with intent to distribute.

7 Count 11, the defendant Figueroa is charged with
8 maintaining a drug involved premises.

9 Count 12, the defendants Figueroa and Torres are
12:19:03PM 10 charged with possession of heroin with intent to distribute.

11 Count 13, the defendants Figueroa and Torres are
12 charged with possession of cocaine with intent to distribute.

13 Count 14, the defendants Figueroa and Torres are
14 charged with maintaining a drug involved premises.

12:19:40PM 15 Count 15, the defendant Figueroa is charged with
16 possession of cocaine with intent to distribute.

17 Count 16, the defendants Figueroa and Pizzaro are
18 charged with maintaining a drug involved premises.

19 Count 17, the defendant Figueroa is charged with
12:20:10PM 20 possession of firearms in furtherance of a drug trafficking
21 crime.

22 Count 18, the defendant Figueroa is charged with
23 possession of heroin with intent to distribute.

24 Count 19, the defendant Figueroa is charged with
12:20:37PM 25 maintaining a drug involved premises.

1 Mr. Vacca, on behalf of Carlos Javier Figueroa, do
2 you waive a full reading of the indictment?

3 **MR. VACCA:** I do, Your Honor. I do not have a copy
4 of the superseding indictment.

12:20:54PM 5 **THE COURT:** Do you have copies?

6 **MR. MARANGOLA:** I can give an extra copy, Judge.

7 **MR. VACCA:** We waive a full reading and reserve all
8 rights.

9 **THE COURT:** Mr. Verrillo, regarding Xavier Torres,
12:21:07PM 10 do you waive a full reading of the fourth superseding
11 indictment?

12 **MR. VERRILLO:** Yes, Your Honor, enter a not guilty
13 plea on all charges.

14 **THE COURT:** Do you have a copy?

12:21:14PM 15 **MR. VERRILLO:** I do, yes.

16 **THE COURT:** Thank you. And, Mr. Lembke, on behalf
17 of your client Jean Karlos Pizzaro, do you waive a full
18 reading of the fourth superseding indictment?

19 **MR. LEMBKE** I do, Your Honor, and enter a plea of
12:21:26PM 20 not guilty. I have a copy.

21 Regarding all three defendants -- Carlos Figueroa,
22 Xavier Torres, and Jean Karlos Pizzaro -- as required by the
23 Due Process Protections Act, the Court confirms the United
24 States' obligation to produce all exculpatory evidence to the
12:21:51PM 25 defendant pursuant to *Brady vs. Maryland* and its progeny, and

1 orders it to do so.

2 The Government must make these disclosures in
3 sufficient time that the defendant will have reasonable
4 opportunity to act upon the information.

12:22:14PM 5 Failure to do so may result in consequences
6 including, but not limited to, exclusion of evidence, adverse
7 jury instructions, dismissal of charges, contempt proceedings,
8 or sanctions by the Court.

9 I do have copies of a written order for all
12:22:38PM10 counsel. Maybe give it to Brian, have him distribute that.

11 This matter is on for pretrial conference. I have
12 received some materials from the parties.

13 First of all, there's a motion from Mr. Vacca to
14 adjourn the current scheduled trial date from January 4th,
12:23:24PM15 2021, for 30 days I believe.

16 Mr. Vacca, do you want to be heard on that?

17 **MR. VACCA:** Yes, Your Honor. I do have a case -- I
18 just started a trial last week in state court, it's a
19 murder/manslaughter and aggravated criminal contempt. It's
12:23:40PM20 supposed to take a month. We did pick a jury last week, it
21 took all week, and we picked 16 jurors.

22 And yesterday two of the jurors dropped out for
23 various reasons. Now we've got 14. Judge Doran, because this
24 is the oldest case pending, this was supposed to be tried on
12:23:56PM25 March 30th; then we had Covid. Judge Doran has indicated if

1 there is a mistrial, which I think there's a shot there's
2 going to be, we're going to have to start picking the next day
3 until this case is done.

4 There's been a bunch of mistrials over in state
12:24:11PM 5 court because of Covid, unavailability of witnesses, et
6 cetera.

7 I don't want these to bump into each other. I need
8 at least a month. Plus, I did get a new drive the other day
9 that I have to review.

12:24:23PM10 **THE COURT:** You got a new what?

11 **MR. VACCA:** Drive with discovery on it. The other
12 counsel did too. It's going to take me a while to go through
13 that.

14 So I think a month is being a little liberal. Two
12:24:38PM15 months would be better. We just got the superseding
16 indictment as well.

17 **THE COURT:** Mr. Marangola on that?

18 **MR. MARANGOLA:** Judge, first of all, with respect to
19 the superseding indictment, I know that Mr. Figueroa is -- the
12:24:58PM20 only charge that's different for him, number one, it's a
21 conspiracy charge in that 280 grams of crack are now for the
22 controlled substances alleged; and the murder charge in
23 Count 3 is under a different section.

24 With respect to the motion for an adjournment,
12:25:20PM25 Judge, I've not heard positions of other counsel. The

1 Government is ready to proceed. I am aware that Covid has
2 delayed a number of trials, and we've run into challenges with
3 witnesses as well. So I guess I'll defer to the Court and
4 counsel how it wishes to handle that.

12:25:40PM 5 **THE COURT:** Thank you. Mr. Lembke on the
6 adjournment issue?

7 **MR. LEMBKE:** Whatever the Court and Mr. Vacca feel
8 is appropriate. We certainly don't have any objection to
9 that, Judge.

12:25:52PM10 **THE COURT:** Mr. Verrillo?

11 **MR. VERRILLO:** Your Honor, whatever the Court
12 desires in this regard.

13 I just want to note that I have a trial on the 2nd
14 and 3rd of February, if the Court would allow -- if we're
12:26:36PM15 going to start a new date, if I could be allowed to finish
16 those, which is the 2nd, 3rd, I think two first business days
17 of February.

18 **THE COURT:** Okay, thank you.

19 Mr. Vacca, I do understand your situation, but I'm
12:26:51PM20 going to deny the application to adjourn this. This matter --
21 this case is scheduled for currently four months with an
22 indication it could go into five months.

23 This is a nightmare regarding scheduling witnesses
24 and proceedings. Everybody's in the same boat regarding the
12:27:11PM25 Covid situation scheduling matters.

1 We've had this set for some time. I'm not amenable
2 to changing the date at this time. So the application to
3 adjourn the trial from January 4th is denied.

4 There's another motion by Mr. Torres, Mr. Verrillo,
12:27:39PM 5 regarding the use of the alias Pistolita for Mr. Torres.

6 Did you want to be heard on that?

7 **MR. VERRILLO:** Yes, Your Honor. Your Honor, I filed
8 the motions obviously in accordance with the Court's order in
9 advance of trial. We have a concern about the nickname
12:28:06PM10 Pistolita being used in this case both in terms of the
11 indictment and in terms of the trial.

12 This is the now fourth indictment, and I would
13 point out to the Court under Rule 7 the indictment needs to
14 have a plain, concise and definite written statement of the
12:28:25PM15 essential facts constituting the offense charged.

16 The allegation that the defendant has a nickname is
17 not an essential fact to any of the elements associated with
18 the charge.

19 So our first request under Rule 7 and the request
12:28:39PM20 for relief would be to remove from the -- from the
21 indictment -- from the caption the reference to Pistolita,
22 which we would indicate to the Court we believe is prejudicial
23 in light of the allegations. There's allegations of criminal
24 violence and other activities, and we're concerned about the
12:28:57PM25 prejudice associated with the name.

1 As I've explained in my motion, he's been called
2 that since a young kid. He apparently had a lot of vitality,
3 he was a cowboy and all the other stuff. So that has nothing
4 to do with this case. And we're very concerned about any
12:29:11PM 5 prejudice in that name being used in this case.

6 And so that's our first issue.

7 **THE COURT:** It's my understanding that that's the
8 name he was known by --

9 **MR. VERRILLO:** Yes.

12:29:21PM 10 **THE COURT:** -- by members of the alleged conspiracy?

11 **MR. VERRILLO:** He's used that name and other names,
12 but that is the name that had been used.

13 **THE COURT:** Doesn't that make it relevant?

14 **MR. VERRILLO:** Well, I think it can be referenced
12:29:33PM 15 without referencing the name as far as -- I think the
16 Government has said it's dealing with identification. They
17 want to be able to identify him.

18 But I think there's other ways to reference that
19 without getting into the name. And as I said in the *Farmer*
12:29:47PM 20 case, I don't know how the Government intends to use this
21 nickname, but we're concerned about prejudice associated with
22 this.

23 So both in terms of use in the indictment and also
24 in the presentation at trial so -- and I think the concern
12:30:03PM 25 obviously under 404(a)(1) is any attempt to infer some type of

1 character trait or criminal behavior, which is our concern,
2 that we don't want any prejudice associated with that. So
3 that relates to that issue. I don't know if you want me to
4 go to the other --

12:30:20PM 5 **THE COURT:** Let me hear Mr. Marangola's response on
6 that.

7 **MR. MARANGOLA:** Judge, did the Court receive our
8 submission?

9 **THE COURT:** Yes.

12:30:26PM10 **MR. MARANGOLA:** Essentially the law I think is
11 pretty well-settled that evidence of an alias is admissible in
12 the event it is used for identification purposes.

13 In this case that's the sole purpose it will be
14 used. The Government does not intend to ask the jury to draw
12:30:40PM15 any inference the defendant is more likely to commit crimes
16 because of that alias.

17 But, nonetheless, there are numerous witnesses that
18 knew the defendant by that alias and, therefore, we intend to
19 ask how they knew him and use the introduction of the alias
12:30:59PM20 solely for that purpose.

21 **THE COURT:** Did you have anything else on that
22 issue?

23 **MR. VERRILLO:** No, that was it on that issue.

24 **THE COURT:** Yes, regarding the alias of Pistolita
12:31:14PM25 regarding Xavier Torres, based upon the representation of the

1 Government that that was one of the names by which he was
2 known by alleged co-conspirators, that obviously is relevant
3 testimony.

4 If that name is used in the abstract to carry a
12:31:36PM 5 negative connotation, the Court would understand the
6 objection. But based upon those representations, the motion
7 to preclude the use of that alias of Pistolita is denied.

8 Do you have another motion?

9 **MR. VERRILLO:** Your Honor, I had raised the issue
12:31:57PM 10 about the discovery. I had received recently some discovery
11 related to the Gonzalez shooting, which goes back to June of
12 2016, and this case against Mr. Torres was commenced in
13 November of 2018.

14 Judge Feldman had issued a scheduling order in June
12:32:14PM 15 of 2019 that discovery under Rule 16 and the Rule 12 notice be
16 completed by July 12th of 2019.

17 So our position is sort of it wasn't complied with
18 by the Government. I know Mr. Marangola hasn't been involved
19 in the past few months, but the Government has had the
12:32:33PM 20 opportunity to provide discovery of a matter that went back
21 four years.

22 Discovery has been provided now, but it wasn't
23 timely. And obviously if I had received it in a timely
24 fashion, I could have filed motions and other normal relief
12:32:49PM 25 under the omnibus motions, I could have filed on all those

1 issues.

2 And so I know the Government indicates it's not
3 going to use statements from the 2016 investigation by
4 Mr. Torres, but still we've had a lack of time to investigate
12:33:06PM 5 the statements that have been provided, the discovery that's
6 been provided has redacted references to civilian witnesses,
7 which would assist in our preparation.

8 There's also a reference to a source talking about
9 potential exculpatory information about a Ruben Gonzalez who
12:33:26PM10 made some statements about this murder, his involvement, and
11 we'd like to investigate all those things.

12 So we're prejudiced because we weren't given that
13 opportunity, and I think the appropriate remedy is to suppress
14 and preclude any evidence that should have been disclosed
12:33:42PM15 under Judge Feldman's order.

16 **THE COURT:** Thank you. Mr. Marangola?

17 **MR. MARANGOLA:** Judge, the Government had no
18 intention of even proceeding forward with the evidence of that
19 murder back in whatever it was when the defendant was
12:33:59PM20 initially charged.

21 This investigation, as the Court knows, has been
22 ongoing for several years and it continued after individuals
23 were charged in this case. That's why there's now a fourth
24 superseding indictment.

12:34:11PM25 So when the Government decided it was, in fact,

1 intending to offer proof of that, we provided evidence of that
2 information to the counsel in the case. That was two months
3 out from trial here.

4 I'm not sure how any prejudice whatsoever has been
12:34:30PM 5 articulated by the defense. They've got more than enough time
6 to look into it.

7 So in terms of a failure to comply, this wasn't
8 even on the Government's radar at the time that previous
9 motion had been issued.

10 12:34:48PM And then in terms of prejudice, I don't think given
11 that there is over two months before the trial starts, that
12 the defense established any prejudice in their ability to not
13 investigation the information.

14 12:35:04PM **THE COURT:** Thank you. Yes, based upon the length
15 between now and the trial date and the representation by the
16 Government, at this point I'll deny the motion.

17 12:35:19PM However, subject to it being renewed, Mr. Verrillo,
18 during the course of your preparation over the next couple
19 months you discover an issue that needs to be brought to the
20 attention of the Court, I'll allow you to renew that
21 application.

22 12:35:34PM **MR. VERRILLO:** Thank you. I also made the Court
23 aware -- one final issue as a part of this discovery. I did
24 receive a video related to the crime scene of this murder and
25 I did raise concerns about its prejudice and gruesome nature.

1 I haven't gotten an exhibit list from the
2 Government. I don't know if formally whether they're going to
3 offer that or not, but I did want to make the Court aware of
4 this issue, this category, and I would raise objections to any
12:35:49PM 5 use of that evidence if it's offered by the Government.

6 **THE COURT:** Sure. Again I'll reserve your right to
7 make an application on that after you've had a chance to
8 review that exhibit list if it's going to be offered for
9 trial.

12:36:02PM10 Any other motions, Mr. Verrillo?

11 **MR. VERRILLO:** That's it at this time. Thank you.

12 **THE COURT:** Mr. Vacca, do you have any objections?

13 **MR. VACCA:** Not at this point, Your Honor.

14 **THE COURT:** Mr. Lembke, do you have any motions?

12:36:13PM15 **MR. LEMBKE:** No, sir.

16 **THE COURT:** I do have from the defendant Torres a
17 requested *voir dire*, which I will obviously review and
18 incorporate into my *voir dire*, questions I feel appropriate.

19 In addition, I received a witness list from the
12:36:36PM20 Government, it lists some 150 witnesses; I believe a number of
21 them would be witnesses who would lay a foundation regarding
22 documents and other materials. That may not be necessary if
23 the parties enter into some type of stipulation.

24 I received notice from the defendant Xavier Torres
12:37:03PM25 of one witness, attorney John Molloy. I have received notice

1 of three exhibits regarding the defendant Xavier Torres.

2 I received some proposed jury instructions from the
3 Government as well.

4 Are you going to submit anything on *voir dire*?

12:37:33PM 5 **MR. MARANGOLA:** No, Your Honor, we'll defer to the
6 Court's *voir dire*. I can submit a revised jury instruction to
7 reflect some of the changes in the indictment given that the
8 deadline for those initial instructions was before the return
9 of the superseding indictment.

12:37:48PM10 **THE COURT:** Great, thank you.

11 When will you have your exhibit list prepared, at
12 least a preliminary one?

13 **MR. MARANGOLA:** A preliminary one we can get -- I
14 can try to get to the Court at the same time I get the *Jencks*
12:38:02PM15 material about a month before trial. We're working on
16 finalizing that, getting transcripts, getting *Jencks* material
17 together. We can try a draft exhibit list at about that time.

18 **THE COURT:** So December 1st?

19 **MR. MARANGOLA:** I think we have December 4th is what
12:38:16PM20 we advised counsel.

21 **THE COURT:** Okay, December 4th.

22 Mr. Vacca, do you have proposed *voir dire* or are
23 you just going to --

24 **MR. VACCA:** I'm going to go with the Court, Your
12:38:32PM25 Honor.

1 **THE COURT:** You haven't submitted any witness list
2 at this point?

3 **MR. VACCA:** Not at this juncture, Your Honor.

4 **THE COURT:** Or exhibits?

12:38:39PM 5 **MR. VACCA:** Pardon?

6 **THE COURT:** Or exhibits?

7 **MR. VACCA:** Or exhibits, no.

8 **THE COURT:** Okay. And, Mr. Lembke, regarding
9 Mr. Pizzaro?

12:38:46PM10 **MR. LEMBKE:** Same.

11 **THE COURT:** *Voir dire* with the Court. No witnesses
12 at this point or exhibit list?

13 **MR. LEMBKE:** No, sir.

14 **THE COURT:** Okay.

12:38:54PM15 **MR. VACCA:** Your Honor, one thing, at some point in
16 time I may need a letter from Your Honor, an engagement type
17 of letter, obviously taking preference over state court cases,
18 but I'll just wait and see what happens, if that's okay?

19 **THE COURT:** Sure.

12:39:11PM20 **MR. VACCA:** Thank you.

21 **THE COURT:** What judge are you --

22 **MR. VACCA:** Judge Sinclair, but it's Judge Doran who
23 is doing the real pushing. He's the supervising judge.

24 **THE COURT:** I can push Judge Doran around.

12:39:27PM25 **MR. VACCA:** Thank you.

1 **THE COURT:** Regarding stipulations, have there been
2 any proposed stipulations at this point, Mr. Marangola?

3 **MR. MARANGOLA:** There have, Judge. I've provided a
4 number of stipulations to counsel in the case, I think it's a
12:39:41PM 5 few weeks ago now, concerning wiretap foundation, foundation
6 for pole cameras, cell phone extractions, chemists, other lab
7 folks, sort of essential chain of custody type of foundation
8 witnesses.

9 I know some counsel indicated they were intending
12:40:03PM 10 to review them with their clients. I've not heard anyone
11 indicate that they would.

12 I know Mr. Vacca has been on trial. I think he had
13 some initial discussions with Mr. Figueroa concerning those,
14 but as of right now I have not heard anyone indicate that they
12:40:27PM 15 are prepared to stipulate as we've offered.

16 **MR. VACCA:** Your Honor, I did furnish copies of the
17 proposed stipulations to my client, and we did review it on a
18 couple of occasions in person and on the phone, and he's
19 indicated to me that he's not in a position at the present
12:40:41PM 20 time to consent to that.

21 **THE COURT:** All right. Why don't we give this to
22 counsel, give them an opportunity to review those proposed
23 stipulations.

24 Mr. Vacca, Mr. Lembke and Mr. Verrillo, I think we
12:40:54PM 25 should come back for another pretrial conference on December

1 4th since that seems to be the date that a lot of materials
2 are due.

3 December 4th noon work best if you're in state
4 court somewhere?

12:41:09PM 5 **MR. VACCA:** Hopefully it will be over by then. It
6 better.

7 **THE COURT:** Okay. Why don't we say December 4th at
8 11 o'clock. We'll come back and handle that issue regarding
9 the exhibit lists, stipulations, and any other issues that
12:41:25PM 10 might arise between now and that time.

11 **MR. VACCA:** Thank you, Your Honor.

12 **MR. MARANGOLA:** Judge, was that 11 o'clock or noon?

13 **THE COURT:** I was thinking noon would be easier for
14 people. Does noon work better?

12:41:36PM 15 **MR. MARANGOLA:** That's fine.

16 **MR. VACCA:** Noon works better.

17 **MR. LEMBKE** 1 o'clock for you, Rob.

18 **MR. MARANGOLA:** 12:03.

19 **THE COURT:** Okay, the Government has given notice
12:41:49PM 20 regarding evidence of uncharged crimes, specifically their
21 intent to introduce evidence as part of a conspiracy,
22 potential sale of controlled substances prior to the beginning
23 of the conspiracy in 2015 as either evidence of the conspiracy
24 or 404(b) evidence.

12:42:18PM 25 I don't know if you want to review that and respond

1 to it on December 4th. Does that make sense?

2 **MR. VERRILLO:** Yes, Your Honor.

3 **MR. VACCA:** Yes, Your Honor.

4 **THE COURT:** Give you a chance to review that.

12:42:25PM 5 The Government has given notice regarding
6 co-conspirator statements. Obviously we all know what the law
7 is. If the statements were made in furtherance of the
8 conspiracy, they would be admissible. But, again, I'll allow
9 you to place your positions regarding that issue as well in
12:42:48PM10 any writings prior to the December 4th conference.

11 The Government has noticed the prior felony
12 convictions of both Mr. Figueroa and Mr. Torres.

13 Mr. Torres specifically a 2004 conviction for
14 conspiracy to possess with intent to distribute cocaine base.

12:43:19PM15 Mr. Torres a 2009 conviction for conspiracy to
16 possess with intent to distribute heroin.

17 I don't think anybody's responded to that, but
18 again I'll give you until December 4th to respond on that
19 issue. Make sure we're all clear on that part of the trial.

20 Finally, the Government's given notice regarding
21 Rule 609 evidence regarding potential impeachment evidence of
22 Government witnesses they will supply to counsel prior to the
23 testimony of those particular witnesses.

24 Is there anything else from the Government at this
12:44:03PM25 time, Mr. Marangola?

1 **MR. MARANGOLA:** Judge, just one if I could. I think
2 since we did conduct the arraignment on the superseding
3 indictment, I think statutorily the defendants should be
4 advised at least with respect to the additional charges and
12:44:20PM 5 the penalties, and I should probably take care of that now if
6 I may.

7 **THE COURT:** Yes.

8 **MR. MARANGOLA:** With respect to the charge against
9 Mr. Figueroa in Count 3, the murder charge, that carries a
12:44:34PM10 mandatory minimum sentence of 20 years with a maximum sentence
11 of life imprisonment, maximum fine of \$2 million, and a five
12 year supervised release period.

13 With respect to Mr. Torres, the charges are --
14 they're not different other than the dates of the charges that
12:44:55PM15 are in the current indictment. They're changed from
16 distribution charges. The penalty remains the same.

17 And with respect to defendant Pizzaro, he was added
18 to Count 2. That offense carries a mandatory minimum sentence
19 of 10 years imprisonment with a mandatory sentence -- maximum
12:45:15PM20 sentence of life. The sentence on that offense must be
21 imposed consecutively to any other sentence either in this
22 case or in another case. There's a maximum fine of \$250,000,
23 and a supervised release period of five years.

24 The three distribution charges against Mr. Pizzaro
12:45:38PM25 carry a maximum sentence of 20 years imprisonment, a

1 \$1 million fine, and a three-year period of supervised
2 release.

3 And then the final thing, Judge, was -- and I can
4 discuss this further with counsel -- I guess there's a
12:45:59PM 5 forfeiture allegation in the superseding indictment as there
6 had been in the previous indictment, and I guess we just need
7 the position with respect to how to proceed in the event that
8 there's a conviction, meaning will the defendants agree to
9 forfeiture upon conviction?

12:46:18PM10 In other words, will they agree or allow the Court
11 to decide it, or do they wish the matter be presented to the
12 jury for the jury decision. If they do, then we can provide
13 the Court a proposed instruction in that regard.

14 As to the December 4th date, I guess I would just
12:46:39PM15 request, Judge, we can actually -- hopefully move things along
16 on December 4th.

17 If it's possible a week before that date, if
18 counsel will give the Government either responses to its
19 pretrial submissions back from October 27th, or responses with
12:47:00PM20 regard to the stipulations, that will effect where things will
21 go in terms of the December 4th date so that we'll all be on
22 the same page when we come to court in terms of proceeding
23 that day.

24 **THE COURT:** Sure. Mr. Vacca, you okay with that?

12:47:15PM25 **MR. VACCA:** Yes, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Mr. Verrillo?

2 MR. VERRILLO: Yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Mr. Lembke?

4 MR. LEMBKE: Yes, sir.

7 **MR. MARANGOLA:** Judge, with respect to all the
8 defendants in the case, I do have one motion with respect to
9 defendant Pizzaro, so I don't know if the Court wishes to see
10 if the other defendants have other matters they wish to
11 address with the Court, but in terms of all three defendants,
12 that's all the Government has at this point. Thank you.

12:47:57PM 15 MR. VACCA: No, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Verrillo?

22 THE COURT: Yes.

23 MR. VERRILLO: Okay.

1 **MR. VERRILLO:** Yes. Thank you. I'm all set.

2 **THE COURT:** Mr. Lembke?

3 **MR. LEMBKE:** Yes, sir. I don't have anything
4 additional, no.

12:48:22PM 5 **THE COURT:** Mr. Marangola, go ahead.

6 **MR. MARANGOLA:** Judge, with respect to Mr. Pizzaro,
7 the Government is moving for his detention based on the
8 superseding indictment that has been returned.

9 I can sort of go through some of the bases for that
10 motion, but I'm not sure if the Court wishes to have that
11 motion heard right now with the other defendants here or
12 schedule it for another time, but the Government is moving for
13 detention of defendant Pizzaro at this time.

14 **THE COURT:** Is that based upon the addition of
15 Mr. Pizzaro to Count 2, the firearms possession, and Counts 7,
16 8 and 9, distribution of heroin?

17 **MR. MARANGOLA:** Yes, Judge, that is correct.

18 **THE COURT:** Mr. Lembke?

19 **MR. LEMBKE:** We're prepared to address the
20 detention motion right now.

21 **THE COURT:** Anything else for the other defendants?
22 Mr. Figueroa -- Mr. Vacca, regarding Mr. Figueroa?

23 **MR. VACCA:** No, Your Honor.

24 **MR. VERRILLO:** No, Your Honor.

25 **THE COURT:** And Mr. Verrillo regarding Mr. Torres?

1 **MR. VERRILLO:** Nothing further.

2 **THE COURT:** Those defendants could be excused at
3 this time.

4 (**WHEREUPON**, defendants Figueroa and Torres were
12:49:57PM 5 excused from the proceeding).

6 **THE COURT:** Mr. Marangola, you may proceed.

7 **MR. MARANGOLA:** Thank you, Judge. The Government is
8 moving for detention of Mr. Pizzaro.

9 We would note that based on the nature of the

10 charges contained in the indictment, that under Title 18,

11 United States Code, Section 3142(e) there's a statutory
12 presumption that he's both a flight risk and a danger to the
13 community and that he, in fact, should be detained.

14 As I mentioned earlier, the defendant's mandatory
12:52:13PM 15 minimum sentence now is 20 years imprisonment if he were
16 convicted of just the first two counts of the indictment. The
17 Government submits that is a significant incentive to flee
18 and/or intimidate witnesses.

19 Additionally, Your Honor, this defendant has
12:52:32PM 20 consistently and repeatedly, and I would submit to the Court
21 willfully violated prior orders of release issued both by
22 Judge Feldman and by Judge Pedersen, and specifically with
23 regard to his being ordered not to have contact with witnesses
24 or co-defendants in the case.

12:53:00PM 25 There has been -- back since 2019 the defendant has

1 been told not to live with his co-defendant Carlos Figueroa's
2 significant other Nishayra Gutierrez, who was staying at 6
3 Burbank.

4 After the Government found out that he was, in
12:53:28PM 5 fact, living there, he was ordered not to stay there and then
6 he moved. He was staying, my understanding is in an apartment
7 on Alexander Street.

8 And then the Government learned that the defendant,
9 after his father in Puerto Rico passed away, moved in with
12:53:49PM10 Ms. Gutierrez again at 6 Burbank Street.

11 And there had been some communication between
12 counsel, Mr. Lembke, and Judge Pedersen as to why that
13 occurred since he had been previously ordered not to live
14 there.

12:54:05PM15 And I believe Mr. Lembke advised Judge Pedersen
16 that he told Mr. Pizzaro to go stay there because he was
17 concerned about the defendant's mental well-being since he
18 didn't have any other family in the district, and
19 Ms. Gutierrez was someone with whom he was close.

12:54:28PM20 Shortly after that Judge Pedersen then issued an
21 order telling the defendant that he was going to have to find
22 an alternative to staying at 6 Burbank while the Court decided
23 whether he was going to permit the defendant to return to
24 Puerto Rico to grieve his recently deceased father, and he was
12:54:53PM25 ordered again not to have any contact with her or anyone

1 involved in the indictment or any witnesses.

2 Shortly after that the defendant was permitted to
3 return to Puerto Rico where he's been supervised by the
4 District of Puerto Rico.

12:55:16PM 5 And despite being told again that he's not to have
6 contact with co-defendants or witnesses in the case, the
7 Government has learned that the defendant has been speaking on
8 the telephone with co-defendant Carlos Figueroa while
9 Mr. Figueroa is in jail.

12:55:36PM10 And the Government also learned this -- that when
11 Mr. Pizzaro came into the district this past weekend, he again
12 went to 6 Burbank Street where the defendant Carlos Figueroa's
13 significant other, Ms. Gutierrez, is still residing.

14 So based on his continued and persistent refusal to
15 obey the order not to have contact with witnesses,
16 co-conspirators, and co-defendants, as well as the now 20 year
17 mandatory minimum that the defendant is facing, I would ask
18 that the Court detain the defendant on dangerousness as well
19 as risk of flight.

12:56:26PM20 In terms of the evidence in the case, Your Honor,
21 I'll submit that the proof will show that Mr. Pizzaro was a
22 member of Carlos Figueroa's inner circle, and that at times he
23 had -- he held a supervisory position in this organization.
24 He himself engaged in drug sales and assisted others in doing
25 so.

1 The proof will show that during the conspiracy he
2 was arrested with over 50 bags of cocaine and almost 200 bags
3 of heroin during the conspiracy.

4 He pled guilty to possession with intent to
12:57:02PM 5 distribute a controlled substance, that is possession in the
6 fifth degree in state court, as a result of that and was
7 sentenced to seven months -- I'm sorry, ten months
8 incarceration. He served seven months.

9 And then I expect the proof will show that after he
12:57:16PM10 served that sentence of imprisonment, he returned to the
11 Burbank Street area and reengaged in drug trafficking, which
12 as the Court knows is one of the factors that the
13 Second Circuit has considered in deciding whether a defendant
14 presents a danger to the community.

12:57:35PM15 In addition to that, there would be cooperator
16 testimony that the defendant -- of his possession of drugs,
17 money, as well as firearms.

18 So for all those reasons, Your Honor, I would ask
19 that the Court detain the defendant pending trial in this
12:57:51PM20 matter.

21 **THE COURT:** Thank you. Can I hear from Probation?

22 **MS. WHITCOMB:** Yes, Judge.

23 **THE COURT:** Mr. Pizzaro is being supervised in the
24 District of Puerto Rico?

12:58:03PM25 **MS. WHITCOMB:** That is correct.

1 THE COURT: Do you have a report from them?

2 **MS. WHITCOMB:** Your Honor, at last speaking with the
3 officer there, he was in compliance. However, we did not get
4 any information regarding his travel plans from the district
5 prior to his arrival here for court on Friday; we were not
6 aware that he had arrived here in Rochester.

7 We were not made aware of where he was staying
8 until we were contacted by the U.S. Attorney's Office.

9 Yesterday afternoon we did contact Mr. Pizzaro and
10 we reminded him of the order that he is not to be at 6 Burbank
11 Street. He was directed to leave immediately. My
12 understanding was he has secured a hotel room in Greece for
13 the duration of his trip.

14 | THE COURT: Okay. Was he at 6 Burbank Street?

MS. WHITCOMB: Yes, Judge.

18 **MS. WHITCOMB:** That's correct, Judge. There was an
19 order on March 26th by Judge Pedersen that amended the
20 conditions of release modifying the conditions to say that he
21 was not to have contact with Ms. Gutierrez, and to refrain
22 from any contact with 6 Burbank Street.

1 **MS. WHITCOMB:** Yes. However, I don't know if that's
2 just a miscommunication with the District of Puerto Rico not
3 communicating with us before his return here for court.

4 **THE COURT:** Okay, thank you. Mr. Lembke?

12:59:42PM 5 **MR. LEMBKE:** One second, please, Your Honor.

6 Upon Mr. Pizzaro's arraignment on the initial
7 indictment -- or the indictment -- or his initial arraignment
8 in this case, which I believe was in or around April 2019, so
9 a year and a half ago, a little more than a year and a half
01:01:13PM10 ago, the Government did not move for detention.

11 At that time the legal posture of the case in terms
12 of the Bail Reform Act was the same in regard to the
13 presumption.

14 Mr. Pizzaro under 3142(e)(2) already had a
01:01:39PM15 rebuttable presumption that no combination of factors -- no
16 factors or combination of factors could ensure the safety of
17 the community or his return to court because he was charged
18 with a controlled substance offense with a mandatory maximum
19 of more than ten years under 3142(e)(3)(A).

01:02:10PM20 So the fact now that the rebuttable presumption
21 applies because he also has a 924(c) charge under
22 3142(e)(3)(B) does not change the legal footing of the case as
23 it relates to the Bail Reform Act.

24 Yet the Government at that time did not even move
01:02:32PM25 for detention against Mr. Pizzaro.

1 **THE COURT:** Let me stop you for second. Was he
2 subjected to a mandatory minimum at that time?

3 **MR. LEMBKE:** Yes, ten years.

4 **THE COURT:** Okay, thank you. Go ahead.

01:02:42PM 5 **MR. LEMBKE:** All right. So that was the next thing
6 I was going to address.

7 That now his mandatory minimum is 20 years.

8 However, since the inception of this case -- and in particular
9 last fall of 2019, the Government and Mr. Pizzaro were talking
01:03:02PM10 about a possible pretrial resolution of the case, and one of
11 the prosecutors in the case and I communicated, and it was our
12 opinion that were Mr. Pizzaro to plead guilty without any
13 cooperation, but with a three point reduction for acceptance
14 of responsibility, his guideline range would be 151 to 188,
01:03:36PM15 which is 14 and a half to 17-ish years -- not 17 years.

16 Mr. Pizzaro and I had a number of discussions both
17 last fall through this spring and summer and including as
18 recent as probably a month or two ago in which he was made
19 aware by me that as the case stood before the fourth
01:04:04PM20 superseding indictment was filed, were he to go to trial and
21 be convicted, he would likely be facing a sentence somewhere
22 in the range of 17 to 20 years at least.

23 So the idea that now because he's facing a
24 mandatory minimum sentence of 20 years as opposed to what I
01:04:28PM25 advised him to be, in my opinion, a probable sentence if

1 convicted after trial of a little less than 20 years or 20
2 years, is of no material consequence in determining whether or
3 not that now provides for him an incentive to flee that didn't
4 exist before.

01:04:52PM 5 The circumstances may be different in terms of how
6 you get to the 20, but the idea of him looking at a
7 20-year sentence has been the case since the inception of the
8 case and in particular in the many, many conversations he and
9 I have had together.

01:05:09PM10 The Government has characterized Mr. Pizzaro's time
11 of a year and a half on pretrial release as, in my view,
12 repeated violations of his release conditions.

01:05:35PM13 The fact of the matter is that in the year and a
14 half that he has been on supervised release, he has not been
01:05:35PM15 the subject of a single pretrial release violation petition
16 either by the Government or by the Department of Probation.

01:05:58PM17 He's had no violations of probation, or his
18 supervised release -- with the exception of his temporary
19 residences on a couple of occasions at 6 Burbank Street, which
01:05:58PM20 I will address momentarily.

21 Mr. Pizzaro has appeared at all court appearances.
22 In particular, this court appearance. Mr. Pizzaro was
23 residing in Puerto Rico. The Court ordered that we be here
24 today in person. He arranged for his travel here, paid for
01:06:21PM25 his travel here.

1 In that regard Mr. Pizzaro provided copies of his
2 agenda and his travel tickets to his supervising probation
3 officer in the District of Puerto Rico. Whether the District
4 of Puerto Rico Probation Department did not inform the Western
01:06:41PM 5 District of New York Probation Department is not something you
6 can lay at his feet.

7 The Probation Department knew or should have known
8 that Mr. Pizzaro was -- was getting in to Rochester, arriving
9 I guess is the word I was searching for, in Rochester on
01:07:01PM10 Friday and -- but they did not, and that is not his fault.

11 Now, Mr. Pizzaro has always gone to Probation as
12 directed. He's informed Probation of his whereabouts. In the
13 time he's been on supervised release, he had a son born in
14 September of 2019.

01:07:28PM15 I want to get to his residence at 6 Burbank Street
16 for certain periods of time. First of all, Your Honor, 6
17 Burbank Street is a residence that -- that was occupied by
18 Mr. Figueroa prior to his arrest. It was also occupied by
19 Mr. Figueroa's girlfriend Ms. Nishayra Gutierrez, of whom you
01:07:58PM20 have heard reference.

21 Mr. Figueroa, by my recollection, was arrested some
22 time earlier in 2019 than Mr. Pizzaro. So I think we're
23 talking about maybe February. So almost two years ago.

24 The Government's talked about Mr. Pizzaro being at
01:08:19PM25 6 Burbank Street and him communicating with Ms. Nishayra

1 Gutierrez. What the Government has never alleged, and of
2 which there is no evidence, is the fact that any illegal
3 conduct was going on at 6 Burbank Street. It's simply an
4 address.

01:08:39PM 5 Mr. Figueroa isn't there. There's not a single
6 allegation of drug dealing activity going on out of 6 Burbank
7 Street since Mr. Figueroa was arrested.

8 There's not a single allegation that Mr. Pizzaro
9 and Ms. Gutierrez were involved in conversation regarding the
01:08:57PM10 case, regarding anybody's defenses. And point of fact, my
11 understanding is that they have never discussed it.

12 I should also point out that when Mr. Pizzaro was
13 told that he couldn't reside there by the Court, he moved out.
14 And I'm talking about early now. This is early in -- in 2019.
01:09:17PM15 He got an apartment on Alexander Street.

16 During the entire time that he was out up until
17 about February of this year, Mr. Pizzaro was employed.
18 Shortly before February 2020 Mr. Pizzaro lost his job here in
19 Rochester.

01:09:38PM20 Shortly after that, as Mr. Marangola says, his
21 father passed away shortly after that, and on the weekend
22 before President's Day, I believe President's Day in February
23 2020, this year, Mr. Pizzaro found out that his father had
24 committed suicide in Puerto Rico, and was sent photographs of
01:10:06PM25 his father hanged.

1 He called me. I got a call late on a Saturday or
2 late on a Sunday and I met with Mr. Pizzaro on that following
3 Monday morning.

4 When I say that I was concerned about his mental
01:10:24PM 5 health is no joke. Under the circumstances, the fact that
6 Mr. Pizzaro had no relatives here, no family here, and
7 Ms. Gutierrez, by whom he has -- with whom, by the way, he has
8 been dear friends for, I think, ten years or perhaps more, he
9 had no money, and no place to go.

01:10:53PM10 This is -- these are the circumstances under which
11 he went to Burbank Street. Then Covid came. Then under all
12 those circumstances the Government went to the Probation
13 Department demanding that Mr. Pizzaro's pretrial release be
14 revoked.

01:11:14PM15 Probation -- I'm sorry? I thought I heard
16 something.

17 The probation officer -- the Probation Office did
18 not file a violation petition. We came into court, we
19 discussed it with Judge Pedersen, he gave Mr. Pizzaro
01:11:33PM20 instructions to not be at that residence. The only thing that
21 he could do was go back to Puerto Rico.

22 So myself and his supervising probation officer at
23 the time, Probation Officer Mileham, communicated with the
24 District of Puerto Rico. It took several weeks to get
01:11:52PM25 Mr. Pizzaro approved to leave the District of -- the Western

1 District of New York and go to Puerto Rico, which he did on
2 his own dime. And since that time has been living with his
3 wife and their now one year old son in Puerto Rico.

4 During the time he's been in Puerto Rico he's been
01:12:13PM 5 fully compliant with all the Court's orders. He came back
6 here to Rochester for this court appearance because the Court
7 required that we be here in person.

8 My understanding is that he simply forgot under the
9 circumstances that he wasn't supposed to be at Burbank Street
01:12:34PM10 or didn't put enough thought into that situation. But again,
11 Judge, this is kind of form over substance.

12 The fact that he may have been at 6 Burbank Street
13 I understand is a violation of the Court's direct order not to
14 be there. But the reality is it really is almost a *de minimis*
01:13:04PM15 violation because the Government has not provided any proof to
16 the Court of why it's a problem other than him being there and
17 there's a rule and -- and the rule wasn't followed.

18 I guess it's *malum prohibitum*. It's bad because we
19 say it's bad, but it's not bad in and of itself by its very
01:13:30PM20 nature, right? It's a violation of the rule that was put in
21 place.

22 Now, I understand those are important things, but I
23 think that the overwhelming weight of the evidence before Your
24 Honor concerning whether this man is going to come to court is
01:13:50PM25 sitting right there. He's here. He's always been here.

1 I've had meetings with him, I've probably had 15
2 meetings with Jean Karlos Pizzaro in my office. He's there
3 every time early, ready to go, never fails, always comes to
4 court, always checks in with Probation.

01:14:14PM 5 He's an open book. Even when he's in 6 Burbank
6 Street, people know about it. It's not as if he's trying to
7 pull something off. I think that he just -- it's -- it's a
8 matter of being poor, and not having a place to stay or the
9 resources to stay.

01:14:31PM10 And I should add I think the Probation Department
11 pointed out when I got a call yesterday from Probation
12 Officer Hernandez and I talked to Mr. Pizzaro, I told him get
13 out and get a hotel room, and that is exactly what he did.

14 So he's rebutted the presumption by his conduct.

01:14:57PM15 6 Burbank Street, I understand, notwithstanding.

16 I don't know anything about the conversations
17 between my client and Mr. Figueroa from the jail. I also
18 don't know anything about the content of those conversations,
19 to the extent there were some, there should not be and he
01:15:14PM20 knows that.

21 And I think that reiterating to the Court -- by the
22 Court that he cannot be at 6 Burbank, he cannot speak with his
23 co-defendants is all it's going to be necessary for this man.

24 And I would suggest, Your Honor, by the way, if
01:15:30PM25 there was anything in those conversations of which the

1 Government is aware -- because they're recorded -- suggesting
2 that they were talking about the case or obstructing justice
3 or trying to come up with some sort of defense or otherwise,
4 we would have known about it.

01:15:47PM 5 Based upon all that, again, the evidence before
6 Your Honor in terms of this proceeding suggests that there are
7 conditions and combination of conditions that will assure
8 Mr. Pizzaro's appearance here in court and the safety of the
9 community. Thank you.

01:16:06PM10 **THE COURT:** Mr. Marangola, do you want to respond at
11 all?

12 **MR. MARANGOLA:** I guess, Judge, the thrust of it is
13 which orders does the defendant think he's supposed to follow?
14 He needs another one to stay away from 6 Burbank?

01:16:26PM15 He's been told on two -- at least two separate
16 occasions by two separate judges don't go there, don't have
17 contact with this specific person, and he did it and he
18 continued to do it.

19 And Mr. Lembke may make sort of light of it or it's
01:16:45PM20 just a condition, but it's not that by itself. Well, the only
21 things the Court has to rely is the defendant follows those
22 conditions, and his communicating with co-defendants is
23 completely unacceptable. And the defendant knows it. He just
24 hasn't gotten caught.

01:17:03PM25 And we just found out about it that he has been

1 communicating with Mr. Figueroa in the jail, and there's no
2 excuse for that. Just like there's no excuse for his
3 persistent return to 6 Burbank Street to hang out with the
4 defendant's significant other. None whatsoever.

01:17:20PM 5 So the defendant appeared here as directed as he's
6 supposed to. That doesn't change the fact that he's decided
7 which conditions he's going to comply with and which
8 conditions he's not, and that's not his job to do.

01:17:42PM 9 His job is to follow all the conditions the Court
10 sets whether he or counsel think they're *de minimis* violations
11 or not.

12 So based on the fact that the new charge has a
13 allegation in it as well, I would ask that the Court detain
14 the defendant.

01:17:57PM 15 **THE COURT:** Thank you. Anything else, Mr. Lembke?

16 **MR. LEMBKE:** No, thank you, Your Honor.

17 **THE COURT:** Regarding this matter regarding the
18 defendant Jean Karlos Pizzaro, the Government has moved for
19 detention based upon, first of all, the charges within the
01:18:20PM 20 fourth superseding indictment, specifically the second count
21 which charges Mr. Pizzaro now with possession of firearm;
22 Counts 7, 8 and 9, which charge him with distribution of
23 heroin.

24 There's now a mandatory minimum sentence if he were
01:18:41PM 25 to be convicted of Count 1, conspiracy charge, and Count 2,

1 the possession of firearm charge, of 20 years. He's facing a
2 mandatory minimum sentence of 20 years imprisonment up to
3 life.

4 Coupled with the defendant's violations, which are
01:19:07PM 5 admitted, of the condition of his release, specifically his
6 return to 6 Burbank Street after being ordered by the Court on
7 numerous occasions not to return to that location, and
8 apparently communication with the co-defendant, which was also
9 one of the conditions of release that he not have such
01:19:31PM10 conduct, under 3142(e), there is a rebuttable presumption that
11 the defendant does present a danger to the community and that
12 there is an indication he would not return to court, is a risk
13 of flight.

14 The Court finds that that rebuttable presumption
01:19:58PM15 has not been overcome based upon the representations made on
16 the record regarding the conduct of Mr. Pizzaro while he's
17 been under the conditions of his release, specifically contact
18 with a co-defendant, returning to an address that he has
19 continuously been advised not to return to, coupled with the
01:20:19PM20 magnitude of the charge contained within the fourth
21 superseding indictment, the Court finds there are no
22 conditions or combination of conditions that will assure the
23 safety of the community or that the defendant does not present
24 a risk of flight and, therefore, the Court will order the
01:20:37PM25 detention of the defendant Jean Karlos Pizzaro.

1 The matter's next on for December 4th at 11 a.m.

2 The defendant's detained.

3 **MR. MARANGOLA:** Thank you, Your Honor.

4 **THE COURT:** Thank you.

01:20:50PM 5 (**WHEREUPON**, proceedings adjourned at 1:20 p.m.)

6 * * *

7 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

8

9 In accordance with 28, U.S.C., 753(b), I certify that

10 these original notes are a true and correct record of

11 proceedings in the United States District Court for the

12 Western District of New York before the Honorable Frank P.

13 Geraci, Jr. on November 10th, 2020.

14

15 S/ Christi A. Macri

16 Christi A. Macri, FAPR-RMR-CRR-CSR(CA/NY)
17 Official Court Reporter

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25